

SHIPING LIU

In [2], Auslander and Smalø introduced and studied extensively preprojective modules and preinjective modules over an artin algebra. We now call a module *hereditarily preprojective* or *hereditarily preinjective* if its submodules are all preprojective or its quotient modules are all preinjective, respectively. In [4], Coelho studied Auslander-Reiten components containing only hereditarily preprojective modules and gave a number of characterizations of such components. We shall study further these modules by using the description of shapes of semi-stable Auslander-Reiten components; see [6, 7]. Our results will imply the result of Coelho [4, (1.2)] and that of Auslander-Smalø [2, (9.16)]. As an application, moreover, we shall show that a stable Auslander-Reiten component with “few” stable maps in TrD-direction is of shape  $\mathbb{Z}A_\infty$ .

### 1. PRELIMINARIES ON AUSLANDER-REITEN COMPONENTS

Throughout this note,  $A$  denotes an artin algebra,  $\text{mod } A$  the category of finitely generated right  $A$ -modules, and  $\text{rad}^\infty(\text{mod } A)$  the infinite radical of  $\text{mod } A$ . Let  $\Gamma_A$  be the Auslander-Reiten quiver of  $A$  which is defined in such a way that its vertices form a complete set of the representatives of isoclasses of the indecomposables of  $\text{mod } A$ . We denote by  $\tau$  the Auslander-Reiten translation DTr. The reader is referred to [7] for notions not defined here. We first reformulate a result stated in [7, (2.3)] for later use. Its proof can be found in the proofs of [7, (2.2), (2.3)].

1.1. PROPOSITION. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a left stable component of  $\Gamma_A$  with no  $\tau$ -periodic module. If  $\Gamma$  contains an oriented cycle, then every module in  $\Gamma$  admits at most two immediate successors in  $\Gamma$  and there exists an infinite sectional path*

$$N_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N_s \rightarrow \tau^t N_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^t N_s \rightarrow \tau^{2t} N_1 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

with  $t > 0$  and  $\{N_1, \dots, N_s\}$  a complete set of representatives of  $\tau$ -orbits in  $\Gamma$ .

1.2. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a module in  $\Gamma_A$ , not lying in any finite  $\tau$ -periodic stable component. Then there exists some  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\tau^r X$  lies on an oriented cycle of  $\Gamma_A$  of left stable modules if one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $\tau^n X$  lies on an oriented cycle in  $\Gamma_A$  for infinitely many  $n > 0$ .
- (2)  $X$  is a predecessor of  $\tau^n X$ , for infinitely many  $n > 0$ , in  $\Gamma_A$ .

*Proof.* Assume that either (1) or (2) occurs. In particular,  $X$  is left stable. It suffices to consider the case where  $X$  is not  $\tau$ -periodic. Then there exists  $s \geq 0$  such that the  $\tau^n X$  with  $n \geq s$  lie in an infinite non  $\tau$ -periodic left stable component  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma_A$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  contains no oriented cycle. Then  $\Gamma$  admits a section  $\Delta$ , and hence  $\Gamma$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ ; see [7, (3.1), (3.4)]. For modules  $M, N$  in  $\Gamma$ , there exist at most finitely many  $n \geq 0$  such that  $N$  is predecessor in  $\Gamma$  of  $\tau^n M$ . Moreover, applying some power of  $\tau$ , we may assume

that none of the predecessors of  $\Delta$  in  $\Gamma$  is an immediate successor of a projective in  $\Gamma_A$ . This implies that  $\Delta$  admits no projective predecessor in  $\Gamma_A$ . Now by (1) or (2), there exist infinitely many  $n \geq s$  such that  $\tau^n X$  admits a projective predecessor in  $\Gamma_A$ . However,  $\tau^n X$  is a predecessor of  $\Delta$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large, a contradiction. Hence  $\Gamma$  contains an oriented cycle. The lemma now follows easily from Proposition 1.1. The proof is completed.

1.3. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a module in  $\Gamma_A$ , lying on an oriented cycle of left stable modules but not in any finite  $\tau$ -periodic stable component. Then for every sufficient large positive integer  $n$ , there exists in  $\Gamma_A$  an infinite sectional path starting with  $\tau^n X$  and one ending with  $\tau^n X$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for some  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\tau^r X$  is the start-point of an infinite sectional path of left stable modules. By assumption, the left stable component  $\Gamma$  containing  $X$  is infinite and has oriented cycles. If  $\Gamma$  is  $\tau$ -periodic, then it is a stable tube [5]. Hence  $X$  is the start-point of an infinite sectional path of  $\tau$ -periodic modules. Otherwise, the lemma follows easily from Proposition 1.1. The proof is completed.

We now have our main result of this section which generalizes two equivalent conditions stated in [4, (1.2)].

1.4. PROPOSITION. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected full subquiver of  $\Gamma_A$ , closed under predecessors. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every module in  $\Gamma$  admits only finitely many predecessors in  $\Gamma$ .*
- (2) *All but finitely many modules in  $\Gamma$  lie in  $\tau$ -orbits of projectives and do not lie on oriented cycles in  $\Gamma$ .*
- (3)  *$\Gamma$  contains projectives but no infinite sectional path ending with a projective, and every immediate predecessor of a projective in  $\Gamma$  admits a projective predecessor.*

*Proof.* That (2) implies (1) can be proved by using the argument given in the proof of [4, (4.2)]. Assume now that (1) occurs. Since  $\Gamma_A$  contains no sectional oriented cycle [3], there exists no infinite sectional path ending with some module in  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  contains no projective, then  $\Gamma$  is  $\tau$ -periodic by (1), and hence a connected component of  $\Gamma_A$  since it is closed under predecessors. Therefore  $\Gamma$  is infinite [1], and hence a stable tube [5], a contradiction to (1). Thus  $\Gamma$  contains projectives. Let  $Y$  be an immediate predecessor of a projective  $P$  in  $\Gamma$ . If  $Y$  is  $\tau$ -periodic, then  $P$  is a predecessor of  $Y$ . Otherwise,  $Y$  lies in the  $\tau$ -orbit of a projective, that is a predecessor of  $Y$ . Thus, (1) implies (3).

Finally assume that (3) holds. We shall prove that (2) is true. First suppose that the left stable part  $\Theta$  of  $\Gamma$  is infinite. Then  $\Theta$  has an infinite connected component  $\Sigma$ . Note that  $\Sigma$  is also closed under predecessors in  $\Gamma_A$ . Since  $\Gamma$  contains projectives,  $\Sigma$  contains modules which are immediate predecessors of projectives in  $\Gamma$ , say  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$  are all such modules. It follows easily from (3) that each  $Y_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$  has some  $Y_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq m$  as a predecessor in  $\Sigma$ . Thus some  $Y_s$  with  $1 \leq s \leq m$  is on an oriented cycle in  $\Sigma$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $\Sigma$  contains an infinite sectional path ending with  $\tau^r Y_s$  for some  $r \geq 0$ , and hence one ending with some projective, a contradiction. Thus all but finitely

many modules in  $\Gamma$  lie in  $\tau$ -orbits of projectives. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  contains infinitely many modules lying on oriented cycles. Then there exists a right stable projective module  $Q$  such that  $\tau^n Q$  lies in  $\Gamma$  for all  $n \leq 0$  and  $\tau^n Q$  lies on an oriented cycle in  $\Gamma$  for infinitely many  $n < 0$ . Applying first the dual of Lemma 1.2.(1) and then the dual of Lemma 1.3, we infer that there exists some  $r \leq 0$  such that  $\tau^r Q$  is the end-point of an infinite sectional path in  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  contains an infinite sectional path ending with a projective, a contradiction. The proof is completed.

## 2. HEREDITARILY PREPROJECTIVE MODULES

It has been shown by Auslander and Smalø [2, (9.3)] that a module  $M$  in  $\Gamma_A$  is hereditarily preprojective if and only if  $\text{Hom}_A(X, M) = 0$  for all but finitely many modules  $X$  in  $\Gamma_A$ . This leads to more characterizations of hereditarily preprojective modules. For doing so, we first fix some terminology. One says that a module  $M$  is *generated* by a module  $N$  if  $M$  is a quotient of a finite direct sum of copies of  $N$  and that a projective module  $P$  in  $\text{mod } A$  is a *progenerator* of a family of modules if  $P$  is of minimal length such that every module in the family is generated by  $P$ . A path  $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n$  of  $\Gamma_A$  is called *nonzero* if there exists an irreducible map  $f_i : X_{i-1} \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $f_1 \cdots f_n$  is nonzero. An infinite path is *nonzero* if every finite subpath is so.

2.1. LEMMA. *Let  $M$  be a module in  $\Gamma_A$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$M$  is hereditarily preprojective.*
- (2)  *$M$  is not the end-point of any infinite nonzero path in  $\Gamma_A$ .*
- (3)  *$\text{rad}^\infty(X, M) = 0$  for all modules  $X$  in  $\Gamma_A$ .*
- (4)  *$\text{rad}^\infty(P, M) = 0$  with  $P$  a progenerator of the predecessors of  $M$  in  $\Gamma_A$ .*

The proof of the above result is a routine application of the Harada-Sai Lemma [2, (5.12)] and the result of Auslander-Smalø [2, (9.3)]. Being nonzero [8, (13.4)], an infinite sectional path in  $\Gamma_A$  does not end with a hereditarily preprojective or start with a hereditarily preinjective.

2.2. LEMMA. *Let  $M$  be a module in  $\Gamma_A$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *All predecessors of  $M$  in  $\Gamma_A$  are preprojective.*
- (2) *All predecessors of  $M$  in  $\Gamma_A$  are hereditarily preprojective.*
- (3) *The number of predecessors of  $M$  in  $\Gamma_A$  is finite.*

*Proof.* That (1) implies (2) can be proved by using the same argument in the proof of [4, (3.2)], and that (3) implies (2) follows from Lemma 2.1.(2) and the Harada-Sai Lemma. Assume now that the full subquiver  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma_A$  generated by the predecessors of  $M$  contains only hereditarily preprojective modules. In particular, every module in  $\Gamma$  admits a projective predecessor [2, (8.3)] and  $\Gamma$  has no infinite sectional path ending with some module. By Proposition 1.4,  $\Gamma$  is finite. The proof is completed.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected full subquiver of  $\Gamma_A$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is *generalized standard* if  $\text{rad}^\infty(X, Y) = 0$  for modules  $X, Y$  in  $\Gamma$ . Assume now that  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $A$  and  $r$  is an

integer with  $1 \leq r \leq n$  such that  $e_i A$  is isomorphic to a module in  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . We then let  $A(\Gamma)$  be the quotient of  $A$  modulo the ideal generated by  $1 - e_1 - \cdots - e_r$ . Finally, recall that a module  $M$  in  $\Gamma_A$  is *directing* if it does not lie on any cycle of nonzero non-isomorphisms between modules in  $\Gamma_A$ .

**2.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected full subquiver of  $\Gamma_A$  which contains projectives and is closed under predecessors. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every module in  $\Gamma$  is preprojective.*
- (2) *Every projective module in  $\Gamma$  is hereditarily preprojective.*
- (3) *All but finitely many modules in  $\Gamma$  are directing and lie in  $\tau$ -orbits of projectives.*
- (4)  *$\text{rad}^\infty(P, Q) = 0$  for projectives  $P, Q$  in  $\Gamma$ , and the predecessors of the projectives in  $\Gamma$  are generated by these projectives.*
- (5)  *$\Gamma$  is a generalized standard full subquiver of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of  $A(\Gamma)$  that is closed under predecessors.*

*Proof.* That (1) implies (2) follows from Lemma 2.2, and that (3) implies (1) is a consequence of Proposition 1.4 and Lemma 2.2.

Assume now that (2) holds. Then  $\Gamma$  contains no infinite sectional path ending with a projective by Lemma 2.1.(2), and every immediate predecessor of a projective in  $\Gamma$  has a projective predecessor in  $\Gamma$ ; see [2, (8.3)]. By Proposition 1.4, all but finitely many modules in  $\Gamma$  lie in  $\tau$ -orbits of projectives and do not lie on oriented cycles. Moreover, every module in  $\Gamma$  has only finitely many predecessors. By Lemma 2.2, every module in  $\Gamma$  is hereditarily preprojective. Now it follows easily from Lemma 2.1.(3) that a module in  $\Gamma$  not lying on any oriented cycle in  $\Gamma$  is directing. This shows that (3) holds, and hence establishes the equivalence of the first three statements.

If (4) holds, then every projective in  $\Gamma$  is hereditarily preprojective by Lemma 2.1.(4). That is, (4) implies (2). Assume now that (5) holds. In particular,  $\text{rad}^\infty(\text{mod } A)$  vanishes on the projectives in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $X$  be a module in  $\Gamma$ , and let  $P = eA$  with  $e$  a primitive idempotent be a projective in  $\Gamma_A$  but not in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $Xe = 0$  since  $X$  is a module over  $A(\Gamma)$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_A(P, X) = 0$ . This shows that  $X$  is generated by the projectives in  $\Gamma$ . Thus (5) implies (4).

Finally assume that (1) holds. Let  $X$  be a module in  $\Gamma$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $X$  is hereditarily preprojective. By Lemma 2.1.(3),  $\text{rad}^\infty(Y, X) = 0$  for all modules  $Y$  in  $\Gamma_A$ . Now if  $Q$  is a projective in  $\Gamma_A$  but not in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\text{Hom}_A(Q, X) = \text{rad}^\infty(Q, X) = 0$ . So  $X$  is a module over  $A(\Gamma)$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be an irreducible map in  $\text{ind } A(\Gamma)$  with  $N$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $f \notin \text{rad}^\infty(\text{mod } A)$ , and hence  $M$  is in  $\Gamma$ . This proves that (1) implies (5). The proof is completed.

It is now easy to see that Coelho's result [4, (1.2)] is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.4, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 while the result of Auslander-Smalø [2, (9.16)] follows from Theorem 2.3.

**2.4. LEMMA.** *A module  $X$  in  $\Gamma_A$  lies in a finite  $\tau$ -periodic stable component of  $\Gamma_A$  if one of the following holds:*

- (1)  *$\tau^n X$  is hereditarily preprojective for infinitely many  $n > 0$ .*
- (2)  *$\tau^n X$  is hereditarily preinjective for infinitely many  $n < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a module in  $\Gamma_A$  such that  $\tau^n X$  is hereditarily preprojective for infinitely many  $n > 0$ . In particular,  $X$  is left stable and  $\tau^n X$  admits a projective predecessor in  $\Gamma_A$  for infinitely many  $n > 0$ . Suppose that  $X$  does not lie in any finite  $\tau$ -periodic stable component. Using first Lemma 1.2.(2) and then Lemma 1.3, we conclude that there exists some  $r > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq r$ ,  $\tau^n X$  is the end-point of an infinite sectional path, a contradiction. The proof of the lemma is completed.

If  $A$  is of finite representation type, then every module in  $\Gamma_A$  is both hereditarily preprojective and hereditarily preinjective; see [2, (6.1)]. Conversely we have the following result.

2.5. PROPOSITION. (1) *There exist at most finitely many  $\tau$ -orbits of  $\Gamma_A$  which contain a hereditarily preprojective or hereditarily preinjective module.*

(2) *There exist at most finitely many modules in  $\Gamma_A$  which are both hereditarily preprojective and hereditarily preinjective.*

*Proof.* For part (1), it suffices to prove that there exist at most finitely many  $\tau$ -orbits which contain a hereditarily preprojective module. If this is not true, then there exists a stable component  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma_A$  in which infinitely many  $\tau$ -orbits contain hereditarily preprojective modules. However, by König's graph lemma, every module  $X$  in  $\Gamma$  is the end-point of an infinite path

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = X$$

with the  $X_i$  lying in pairwise different  $\tau$ -orbits. Hence  $X$  is not hereditarily preprojective, a contradiction.

Suppose now that there exist infinitely many modules in  $\Gamma_A$  which are both hereditarily preprojective and hereditarily preinjective. It follows from (1) that there exists a  $\tau$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\Gamma_A$  containing infinitely many modules which are both hereditarily preprojective and hereditarily preinjective. Let  $Y$  be a module in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then either  $\tau^n Y$  is hereditarily preprojective for infinitely many  $n > 0$  or  $\tau^m Y$  is hereditarily preinjective for infinitely many  $m < 0$ . This is contrary to Lemma 2.4. The proof of the proposition is completed.

### 3. COMPONENTS WITH "FEW" STABLE MAPS IN TRD-DIRECTION

For modules  $M, N$  in  $\text{mod } A$ , we shall denote by  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M, N)$  the quotient of  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  modulo the subgroup of maps factoring through a projective module. We say that a map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is *projectively stable* if it has nonzero image in  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M, N)$  and that a path  $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n$  in  $\Gamma_A$  is *projectively stable* if there exists an irreducible map  $f_i : X_{i-1} \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $f_1 \cdots f_n$  is projectively stable. An infinite path is *projectively stable* if every finite subpath is so.

3.1. LEMMA. *Let  $M$  be a module in  $\Gamma_A$  with infinitely many predecessors. Then  $\Gamma_A$  has an infinite projectively stable path ending with a predecessor of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2,  $M$  admits a predecessor  $X$  in  $\Gamma_A$  which is not preprojective. By [2, (10.2)], there exist infinitely many modules  $Y$  in  $\Gamma_A$  such that

$\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(Y, X) \neq 0$ . Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a projectively stable map in  $\text{rad}(Y, X)$ . If  $f \in \text{rad}^\infty(Y, X)$  then, by using well-known properties of almost split sequences, we deduce easily that there exists an infinite chain

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X$$

of irreducible maps through modules in  $\Gamma_A$  such that  $f_n \cdots f_1$  is projectively stable for all  $n \geq 1$ . Otherwise, there exists some  $r > 0$  such that  $f \in \text{rad}^r(Y, X)$  but not in  $\text{rad}^{r+1}(Y, X)$ . Then there exists a chain of irreducible maps through modules in  $\Gamma_A$  from  $Y$  to  $X$  of length  $r$  such that the composite is projectively stable. This shows that  $\Gamma_A$  contains projectively stable paths ending with  $X$  of arbitrary length. Since  $\Gamma_A$  is locally finite, by König's graph lemma, there exists an infinite projectively stable path ending with  $X$ . The proof is completed.

**3.2. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a left stable component of  $\Gamma_A$ , meeting only finitely many  $\tau$ -orbits of  $\Gamma_A$ . Then there exists a module  $X$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\tau^n X, X)$  is nonzero for infinitely many  $n > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We need only to consider the case where  $\Gamma$  is not  $\tau$ -periodic. Assume first that  $\Gamma$  contains no oriented cycle. Then  $\Gamma$  contains a finite section  $\Delta$ , which we may assume has no projective predecessor in  $\Gamma_A$ . Thus the predecessors of  $\Delta$  in  $\Gamma_A$  all lie in  $\Gamma$ . Now every module in  $\Delta$  admits infinitely many predecessors in  $\Gamma$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\Gamma$  contains an infinite projectively stable path

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0$$

with  $X_0$  a predecessor of  $\Delta$  in  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\Delta$  is finite, there exists a sequence  $0 \leq n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_i < \cdots$  such that the  $X_{n_i}$  with  $i \geq 1$  are pairwise distinct but belong to the same  $\tau$ -orbit. Hence for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $X_{n_i} = \tau^{m_i} X_{n_0}$  with  $m_i$  a nonzero integer. Since  $\Gamma$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ , we have  $m_i < m_j$  whenever  $i < j$ . Now the proposition holds since  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(X_{n_i}, X_{n_0}) \neq 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Assume now that  $\Gamma$  contains oriented cycles. Let

$$N_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N_s \rightarrow \tau^t N_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tau^t N_s \rightarrow \tau^{2t} N_1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an infinite path as stated in Proposition 1.1. Applying some power of  $\tau$ , we may assume that for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $\tau^i N_j$  is not an immediate predecessor of a projective in  $\Gamma_A$ . Setting  $\tau^{rt} N_j = N_{rs+j}$  for  $r \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , and  $M_n = \tau^{n-1} N_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , one gets an infinite sectional path

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{2s+1} \rightarrow M_{2s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{s+1} \rightarrow M_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_1 = N_1.$$

Note that for all  $n > 1$  and  $1 \leq i < n$ ,  $\tau^i N_n$  admits exactly two immediate successors  $\tau^{i-1} N_{n-1}$  and  $\tau^i N_{n+1}$  in  $\Gamma$ . Thus for all  $n > 1$ , a path in  $\Gamma$  from  $M_n = \tau^{n-1} N_n$  to  $N_j$  with  $j > 1$  is of length at least  $n$ . As a consequence, if there exists a path in  $\Gamma$  from  $M_n$  to  $X$  of length less than  $n$ , then  $X = \tau^i N_j$  with  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 1$ . By our assumption the  $N_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , for all  $n > 1$ , there exists no path in  $\Gamma_A$  from  $M_n$  to a projective of length less than  $n$ . We choose an irreducible map  $f_{n-1} : M_n \rightarrow M_{n-1}$  for each  $n > 1$ . Then  $g_n = f_{n-1} \cdots f_2 f_1 \notin \text{rad}^n(M_n, M_1)$ ; see [8, (13.4)]. If  $g_n$  factors through a projective, then there exists a path in  $\Gamma_A$  from  $M_n$  to a projective of length less than  $n$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M_n, M_1) \neq 0$  for all  $n > 1$ . In particular,

for all  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\tau^{r(s+t)}N_1, N_1) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M_{rs+1}, M_1)$  is nonzero. The proof is completed.

Kerner proved in [9, (1.1)] that for an indecomposable regular module  $X$  over a wild hereditary algebra  $H$ , both  $\text{Hom}_H(\tau^n X, X)$  and  $\text{Hom}_H(X, \tau^{-n} X)$  vanish for sufficiently large  $n$ , by using the fact that a regular component is of shape  $\mathbb{Z}A_\infty$ . Conversely we have the following result.

**3.3. THEOREM.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a left stable component of  $\Gamma_A$ . Assume that for every module  $X$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\tau^n X, X)$  vanishes for sufficiently large  $n$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has a section of type  $A_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from our assumption and Proposition 3.2 that  $\Gamma$  is not  $\tau$ -periodic and meets infinitely many  $\tau$ -orbits of  $\Gamma_A$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  contains no oriented cycle by Proposition 1.1, and hence contains an infinite section  $\Delta$  with a unique sink  $X$  [7, (3.6)]. Applying some power of  $\tau$ , we may assume that  $\Delta$  admits no projective predecessor in  $\Gamma_A$ . Now  $\Delta$  contains an infinite sectional path

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 = X.$$

We fix an integer  $i > 1$ . Let  $m > 0$  be such that  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\tau^m X_i, X_i) = 0$ . We choose irreducible maps  $f_j : \tau^j X_i \rightarrow \tau^j X_{i-1}$  and  $g_j : \tau^j X_{i-1} \rightarrow \tau^{j-1} X_i$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then  $h_m = f_m g_m f_{m-1} \cdots f_1 g_1 \in \text{rad}^\infty(\tau^m X_i, X_i)$  since  $X_i$  admits no projective predecessor in  $\Gamma_A$ . Thus one of the  $f_j, g_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq m$  is of finite left degree [6, (1.1)]. So the arrow  $X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$  is of finite global left degree [7, section 1]. It follows now from [7, (1.3), (1.4)] that  $\Delta$  is of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 = X = Y_1 \leftarrow Y_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow Y_r$$

with  $r \geq 1$ . That is,  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_\infty$ . The proof of the theorem is completed.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author gratefully acknowledges partial support from NSERC of Canada.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUSLANDER, "Applications of morphisms determined by objects", *Proceedings, Conference on Representation Theory*, Philadelphia 1976 (Dekker, New York, 1978) 245 - 327.
- [2] M. AUSLANDER and S. O. SMALØ, "Preprojective modules over artin algebras", *J. Algebra* **66** (1980) 61 - 122.
- [3] R. BAUTISTA and S. O. SMALØ, "Non-existent cycles", *Comm. Algebra* **11** (1983) 1755 - 1767.
- [4] F. U. COELHO, "Components of Auslander-Reiten quivers with only preprojective modules", *J. Algebra* **157** (1993) 472 - 488.

- [5] D. HAPPEL, U. PREISER, and C. M. RINGEL, “Vinberg’s characterization of Dynkin diagrams using subadditive functions with applications to DTr-periodic modules”, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **832** (Springer, Berlin, 1980) 280 - 294.
- [6] S. LIU, “Degrees of irreducible maps and the shapes of Auslander-Reiten quivers”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **45** (1992) 32 - 54.
- [7] S. LIU, “Semi-stable components of an Auslander-Reiten quiver”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **47** (1993) 405 - 416.
- [8] K. IGUSA and G. TODOROV, “Radical layers of representable functors”, *J. Algebra* **89** (1984) 148 - 177.
- [9] O. KERNER, “Tilting wild algebras”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **30** (1989) 29 - 47.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE  
SHERBROOKE, QUÉBEC  
CANADA J1K 2R1  
EMAIL: *shiping@dm.usherb.ca*